

1Republic of the Philippines Department of Education Region IV-A CALABARZON Division of Antipolo City



JANUARY 5, 2015

DIVISION MEMORANDUM No. 0 S. 2015

SEARCH FOR ANTIPOLO NUMERO UNONG GURO

To

OIC-Asst. Schools Division Superintendent

Education Program Supervisors

District Supervisor

All Elementary and Secondary School Heads
All Elementary and Secondary Teachers

- 1. The Antipolo City Government, under the leadership of its City Mayor, Hon. Casimiro A. Ynares III, and the Sangguniang Panglungsod in coordination with DepEd Antipolo City announces the **Search for Numero Unong Guro** this school year 2014-2015.
- 2. The objectives of the search/program are:
 - a. to give recognition to the sacrifices of public school teachers in education of the youth while attending to their domestic responsibilities and earning a living for their families;
 - b. to boost the morale of public school teachers who despite of limitations and economic challenge, manage to elevate the teaching profession to further develop their knowledge and skills, to import quality education and to sustain basic needs of their families;
 - c. to inspire other teachers with the examples set by the lives and teaching concerns of the awardees;
- 3. The mechanics of the Numero Unong Guro Awardee are as follows:
 - There shall be one (1) Numero Unong Guro Awardee from each primary/elementary and secondary public school in Antipolo City, Rizal
 - Home visits shall be conducted by the selection committee to determine the status in life that nominees and the veracity of nomination;
 - Proper documentation is necessary in support by the nomination and final selection
 - Nomination document shall be submitted by the selection committee in the City Numero Unong Guro Screening Committee for final review, authentication and concurrence
 - There shall be a Numero Unong Guro Awardee plaque and cash to be given to the awardees during the school commencement exercises.
- 4. There shall one (1) Numero Unong Guro in every school (elementary and secondary) who will be scouted, selected, nominated and chosen with the following criteria :

A. ENTRY REQUIREMENT/ELIGIBLE FOR NOMINATION

- 1. The teacher-nominee should be a full-time teacher in any of the public elementary and secondary schools in Antipolo City, Rizal for at least (3) years.
- 2. The teacher-nominee should be a resident or non-resident of Antipolo City, Rizal for at least three (3) years prior to the nomination.
- 3. The teacher-nominee should possess leadership and facilitating skills, positive attitude and commitment as certified by School Head.
- 4. The teacher-nominee should possess outstanding personal and professional characteristics, considering his/her relationship with co-teachers, school staff and students.
- 5. The teacher nominee should have no pending administrative and/ or criminal case.
- 6. The teacher nominee should also display a good example to his/her community neighbours and others.

B. DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

- Duly Accomplished Application Form; (to be provided by scholarship office)
- Income Tax Return Nominees or both spouses to determine the monthly income allocation per family member;
- Certification of School Heads as to his/her outstanding personal characteristics considering his/her relationship with co-teachers and school staff and preference of students;
- Certification form school head as to his/her leadership and facilitating skills, positive attitude and commitment;
- Scrap book of pictures with captions of at least five (5) pages showing his/her activities and accomplishments inside and outside schools;
- Certification from department of Education (Dep-Ed) on no pending administrative or criminal case.

C. DISQUALIFICATION

- Pervious awardees of Guronasyon
- · Awardees of National Search
- Persons who have been suspended, reprimanded, with regarding law relative to violation of any administrative policies, rules and regulations
- 5. Submitted documents will be evaluated according to criteria on search for Numero Unong Guro (see Inclosure No. 1)
- 6. Nomination form, original and duly signed supporting documents shall be submitted at the Human Resource Office, DepEd Division of Antipolo City on or before <u>January 19, 2015</u> to be evaluated by Division Selection Committee and to be forwarded to Management Committee of the City composition of Division Selection Committee and City Management Committee.
- 7. The deadline for submission of names/ awardees to Management Committee of the City will be on January 27, 2015.

DIVISION SELECTION COMMITTEE

Dr. Merthel M. Evardome - Chairperson

OIC-Office of the SDS

Mrs. Doris DJ. Estalilla - Co-Chairperson

OIC-Office of the ASDS

MEMBERS

Elementary Level-Supervisors-In-Charge

Mrs. Lourdes A. Tabuena - Chairperson
Mrs. Dolores J, Agong - Member
Mrs. Merlita O. Sayago - Member
Mr. Lolito A. Makiramdam - Member

Secondary Level-Supervisors-In-Charge

Dr. Luisito F. Diego - Chairperson
Mr. Reynaldo M. Andrade - Member
Mrs. Cristina C. Salazar - Member
Mrs. Cynthia C. Quirong - Member

Mrs. Christine T. Coronado - Secretariat

Mr. Frederick B. Vallinas - Grievance Committee Chair

Management Committee

Under City Ordinance No. 2014-560 Section 5 the Composition and functions of Management Committee, which shall be composed of the City mayor as *ex officio* chairman, the Schools Division Superintendent of Antipolo City as *ex officio* Vice-Chairman, the Head of Antipolo City Scholarship Office as *ex officio* Secretary, and the Chairman of the Committee of Education of the Sangguning Panglunsod as *ex officio* members; the president of the General Parents-Teachers Association and a scholarship consultant of the City Government of Antipolo.

The City Mayor shall have the power to include and appoint other members of the committee as the need arises.

The Numero Unong Guro Management Committee shall cause an extensive and effective information dissemination of the program; issue rules and regulations for the proper implementation of the program, accept documents regarding the screening investigation, validation and nomination of the teachers, verify and validate nominations submitted by selection committee; and act as judge and to choose from the nominees submitted by selection committee the Numero Unong Guro Awardees, or to constitute and/ or POINT THE BOARD OF JUDGES THEREFORE

- 8. All schools are required to submit three or more nominees / candidates for the Search for Numero Unong Guro, following all criteria and schedule of the NGUP. See Inclosure No. 2, 3 and 4, 5.
- 9. There shall be cluster schools (listed hereunder) due to limited number of teachers for the search and shall come up with three or more candidates per cluster who will represent each cluster.

Cluster Schools -District II-B	Cluster Schools -District II-C
Peñafrancia ES Annex	Cabading ES
Teofila Rovero ES	Inuman ES
Cluster S	chools-District II-D
1	2
Canumay ES	
Libis ES	Apia ES
San Joseph ES	Binayoyo ES
San Ysiro ES	Paglitaw PS
Upper Kilingan ES	

- 10. In any case, that there will be only one candidate in a school, the search shall be cancelled and invalid.
- 11. Immediate and wide dissemination of this Memorandum is desired.

DR. MERTHEL M. EVARDOME

Officer-In-Charge

Office of the Schools Division Superintendent

Inclosure No. 1 to Division Memorandum No ____ s. 2015

Submitted documents will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

NUMERO UNONG GURO (Elementary and Secondary)

I.		DOCUMENTS	50%	Evidences	Assigned Points
A.	PE	RSONAL QUALITIES AND CHARACTER	10 pts		
		Rating Scale			
		5 - Role Model			
		4 - Consistently Demonstrates3 - Most of the Time Demonstrates			
		2 - Sometime Demonstrates			
		1 - Rarely			
1.	Мо	del of Integrity in Public and Private Life			
	a.	The teacher behaves with honor and dignity a	t all times as	Write-ups	
ŀ		enshrined in the Code of Ethics for Professional Te	achers	about the	1
	b.	The teacher practices ethical and professional t		nomin ee on	
		conduct taking into account the impact of his/he	er actions and	his/her	
	_	decisions The teacher registring the quirt of professionalism		personal	. 1
	C.	The teacher maintains the spirit of professionalism		qualities	I
		punctuality, regularity of attendance, good goommunication	rooming and	certified by the principal,	1
	d.	The teacher maintains good reputation with respe	ect to financial	district	1
	_	matters	or to illianolar	supervisor	
	e.	The teacher maintains at all times a dignified per	sonality which	and PTA	1
		could serve as a model of emulation by learners,		President	
		others	8		1
2.	Go	od Human Relations in School and Community			
•	a.	The teacher is imbued with professional lo		Write-ups	S 2000
		confidence, and faith in his/her co-teachers, self-s		about the	1
	L	common good and full cooperation with colleagues		nominee by	
	D.	The teacher supports and understands the leg school and administration; shows professional		three co- teachers and	
		helpfulness towards his/her co-teachers, other sch		co-members	1
		and superiors	iooi personinei	in socio-civic	
1	C.	The teacher maintains cordial relations with	parents and	or religious	7
		conducts himself/herself to merit their confidence a		organization,	1
	d.	The teacher provides intellectual leadership in the	Systematics and control of the property of the control of the cont	community	
		and welcomes the opportunity to provide serv	ices involving	officials and	
		matters affecting the welfare of the people		nominee's	1
	e.	The teacher maintains harmonious and pleasant		peers	
		official relations with other professionals, with			
L		officials, and with people, individually or collectively			1

B. INSTRUCTIONAL COMPETENCE AND TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS 90 pts	Evidences	Assigned points	
Performance rating for the last three (3) years		15 pts	
Outstanding Accomplishments for the last three (3) years a. Awardee in the school - 2 points b. Awardee in the district - 4 points c. Awardee in the division - 6 points	Copies of awards, certificates	10 pts	
3. Innovations. Innovative teaching approaches, strategies, practices, materials in the last five (5) years properly documented, approved by immediate superior attested by authorized regional/division officials. a. Action plan adopted in school/district - 2 points b. Instructional materials/learning kit - 3 points	Copies of innovation signed by proper authorities,	10 pts	

		Lesson exemplar/module - 5 points Intervention packages - 5 points	proposal and/or signed certification by immediate superior	
4.	a.	search and Development Action research conducted in the school level - 5 points Action research conducted in the district level - 10 points Action research conducted in the division level - 15 points	Copies of action research and approved proposal	15 pts
5.	a. b.	Articles published in a journal/newspaper/magazine of wide circulation - 2 points Co-authorship of a book - 5 points Sole authorship of the book - 10 points	Copies of published articles, books, etc.	10 pts
6.	a. b. c.	Doctoral Degree - 10 points CAR for doctoral degree - 7 points Master's degree - 5 points CAR for Master's degree - 3 points M.A. units (18 units and above)) - 2 points	Authenticated Transcript of Records	10 pts
7.		inings and Workshops (maximum of 20 points) Recipient of scholarship program, short courses, study grants International - 6 points National - 4 points Regional - 3 points Division - 2 points	Copies of certificates, recognition	6 pts
	b.	Participation in training activities in each level with the following duration: Une to two days National - 0.75 point Regional - 0.50 point Division - 0.25 point Three to four days National - 1 points Regional - 0.75 point Division - 0.50 point Five to six days National - 1.25 points Regional - 1.0 point Division - 0.75 point Seven days and above National - 1.5 points Regional - 1.5 points Regional - 1.5 points Regional - 1.5 points Regional - 1.5 points	Copies of certificates	5 pts
	C.	Relevant Training. The nominee served as speaker, lecturer, facilitator in training workshop. Autional	Copies of certificates	5 pts
	d.	Additional Points. Nominee served as trainer/coach in a competition for the last five (5) years National 1st placer - 4 points 2nd placer - 3 points 3rd placer - 2 point Regional 1st placer - 3 points 2nd placer - 2 points	Copies of recognition (both teachers and pupils)	4 pts

	3 rd placer - 1 point		
	↓ Division		
	1 st placer - 2 points		
	2 nd placer - 1 point		
	3 rd placer - 0.75 point		
II. DE	MONSTRATION TEACHING		30%
1. Mas	tery of the subject matter	Copy of lesson	
	★ Accurate and updated lessons	plans, actual	5
	♣ Appropriate strategies were used	classroom	
	Aligns lesson objectives, teaching methods, learning	observation,	5
	activities and instructional materials	observation	
	↓ Use higher order thinking skills questions	form	5
	Lengages and sustains learners interest in the subject matter	accomplished	_
	by making context meaningful and relevant	by Search	5
		Committee	_
Eim		to terrorise and an analysis and	5
2 Cla	ssroom Management and discipline		5
	Show interaction between and among pupils, teachers		
	Engage learners in varied/differentiated activities for higher		5
150	learning		5
4	Handles behaviour problems quickly and with due respect to		5
₹6	children's right		
4	Creates situation that develop positive attitude among learners		5
	Provides gender-sensitive opportunities for learning		
	gruency of the activities with the lesson objectives		5
	Motivation is congruent with the objectives		5
	Lesson presentation		5
	Activities used in the development of skills are congruent		5
	Uses appropriate assessment		
	ective utilization of instructional materials		5
	Uses varied instructional materials to support learning activities Utilizes available instructional materials in the locality for		5
	effective teaching-learning activities		
4	Maximize use of instructional materials		5
	of technology in teaching		5
	Used multi-aided resources		5
4	Utilized available technology in teaching-learning activities		3
4	Show expertise in using technology in classroom instruction		5
III. INT	TERVIEW		20%
1. Clai	rity of Response	Interview	
•	The response was disjointed and difficult to follow (1-8 pts)	Rubrics signed	
•	The response was clear and organized (9-16 pts)	by Search	25 pts
•	The response used language that enhanced clarity and a format	Committee	
	that was structured (17 – 25 pts)		
^ -	us of response		
2. FOC			
2. Foc	There were some points made in the response, but they were		
2. Foc	not put into a framework (1 – 6 pts)		00.1
2. Foc	not put into a framework (1 – 6 pts) The points used in the responses were specific to the question		20 pts
•	not put into a framework (1 – 6 pts) The points used in the responses were specific to the question (7-12 pts)		20 pts
•	not put into a framework (1 – 6 pts) The points used in the responses were specific to the question (7-12 pts) The points were very specific and highly organized according to		20 pts
•	not put into a framework (1 – 6 pts) The points used in the responses were specific to the question (7-12 pts) The points were very specific and highly organized according to the questions (13-20 pts)		20 pts
• • • 3. Elat	not put into a framework (1 – 6 pts) The points used in the responses were specific to the question (7-12 pts) The points were very specific and highly organized according to the questions (13-20 pts) poration of Points		20 pts
• • • 3. Elat	not put into a framework (1 – 6 pts) The points used in the responses were specific to the question (7-12 pts) The points were very specific and highly organized according to the questions (13-20 pts) poration of Points There was little elaboration used in each response (1-5 pts)		20 pts
• • • 3. Elat	not put into a framework (1 – 6 pts) The points used in the responses were specific to the question (7-12 pts) The points were very specific and highly organized according to the questions (13-20 pts) poration of Points There was little elaboration used in each response (1-5 pts) Each response contained an elaboration but not within his/her		-
• • 3. Elal	not put into a framework (1 – 6 pts) The points used in the responses were specific to the question (7-12 pts) The points were very specific and highly organized according to the questions (13-20 pts) poration of Points There was little elaboration used in each response (1-5 pts) Each response contained an elaboration but not within his/her experience (6-10 pts)		20 pts
• • 3. Elal	not put into a framework (1 – 6 pts) The points used in the responses were specific to the question (7-12 pts) The points were very specific and highly organized according to the questions (13-20 pts) poration of Points There was little elaboration used in each response (1-5 pts) Each response contained an elaboration but not within his/her experience (6-10 pts) Each response contained elaboration using examples from		
3. Elal	not put into a framework (1 – 6 pts) The points used in the responses were specific to the question (7-12 pts) The points were very specific and highly organized according to the questions (13-20 pts) poration of Points There was little elaboration used in each response (1-5 pts) Each response contained an elaboration but not within his/her experience (6-10 pts) Each response contained elaboration using examples from his/her experience (11-15 pts)		
3. Elal	not put into a framework (1 – 6 pts) The points used in the responses were specific to the question (7-12 pts) The points were very specific and highly organized according to the questions (13-20 pts) poration of Points There was little elaboration used in each response (1-5 pts) Each response contained an elaboration but not within his/her experience (6-10 pts) Each response contained elaboration using examples from his/her experience (11-15 pts) sughtfulness		
3. Elal	not put into a framework (1 – 6 pts) The points used in the responses were specific to the question (7-12 pts) The points were very specific and highly organized according to the questions (13-20 pts) poration of Points There was little elaboration used in each response (1-5 pts) Each response contained an elaboration but not within his/her experience (6-10 pts) Each response contained elaboration using examples from his/her experience (11-15 pts) sughtfulness The response showed little thoughtfulness or reflection (1-5 pts)		
3. Elal	not put into a framework (1 – 6 pts) The points used in the responses were specific to the question (7-12 pts) The points were very specific and highly organized according to the questions (13-20 pts) poration of Points There was little elaboration used in each response (1-5 pts) Each response contained an elaboration but not within his/her experience (6-10 pts) Each response contained elaboration using examples from his/her experience (11-15 pts) sughtfulness		15 pts

5.	 Smartness/Alertness The interviewee seemed uncomfortable and uneasy (1-5 pts) The interviewee has little preparations but feels comfortable 	
	during the interview (6-10 pts)	15 pts
	 The interviewee is well-prepared and comfortable during the interview. Has shown smartness and alertness (11-15 pts) 	
6.	Delivery	
	 Delivery neither enhances nor hinders performance. Appropriate gestures are communicated and some distracting mechanisms are much visible (1-3 pts) 	
	 Delivery is clear and commands the interview. Voice and body are evenly matched and appropriately managed for the situation. Some nervousness visible (4-6 pts) 	10 pts
	 Vocal and non-verbal delivery are well-developed and enhanced the interview experience (7-10 pts) 	



Republic of the Philippines CITY GOVERNMENT OF ANTIPOLO Province of Rizal

NUMERO UNONG GURO NOMINATION FORM

NOMINEE'S INFORMATION

lame:				
address:	E-Mail Address:			
lome Phone:	Office Phone: Mobile Phone			
ducational Background:				
,				
Employment:	Position/Title:			
	El Control of the Con			
Gross Family Income (Joint gro	oss income of husband and wife):			
	oss income of husband and wife): Civil Status:			
Date of Birth:				
Date of Birth:	Civil Status: No. of Children:			
Date of Birth: Name of Spouse:	Civil Status: No. of Children:			
Date of Birth: Name of Spouse:	Civil Status: No. of Children:			
Date of Birth: Name of Spouse:	Civil Status: No. of Children:			



Republic of the Philippines CITY GOVERNMENT OF ANTIPOLO

Province of Rizal

		ē	U	8	
		ı			
				M 1	
	15				
				200.00kg - 80.	
					 75
eferences and Address	At least two refere	nces)			
_		N .			
					
			ii .		
			15 3 (1000)		
			7.		

NOTE: Please return the filled out Nomination Form to:

Mayor JUN YNARES NUMERO UNONG GURO
Selection Committee
Department of Education (DepEd)
Division of Antipolo City
C. Lawis St. Extension, Antipolo Rizal

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES SEARCH FOR 2015 ANTIPOLO NUMERO UNONG GURO SY 2014-2015

DATE	ACTIVITIES	PERSONNEL INVOLVED
January 6-14, 2015	Submission of Documents of teachers at school level	Teacher and Principal
January 12-13, 2015	School Level Evaluation and Searching Committee	Principal
January 14-16, 2015	Evaluation of Documents at Division Level	Supervisors-In-Charge by District
January 19-23, 2015	Demonstration Teaching on Designated venues	Supervisors-In-Charge by District
January 26, 2015	Finalization of List of Awardees at Division Level	Mrs. Doris DJ. Estalilla
January 27, 2015	Submission to City Management Committee on NGUP	Mrs. Doris DJ. Estalilla

Member

Member

Reviewed by:

DORIS DJ. ESTALILLA
Officer-In-Charge

Office of the Schools Division Superintendent